Design, Synthesis, Molecular Docking and Antibacterial Screening of Some Quinolone Compounds
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Abstract: Some 6,8-dichloro-quinolone compounds were designed and synthesized; by comparing with 6-fluoro-8-chloro-quinolone compounds, the influence of the nature of the halogen atom from six position of the quinolone ring on the molecular properties and on the antimicrobial activity was studied. The DFT/B3LYP/6-311G* level of basis set was used for the computation of molecular structure of optimized compounds. The calculations of characteristics and molecular properties were performed using Spartan’14 Software from Wavefunction, Inc. Irvine, CA. The HOMO-LUMO energies and orbitals, global reactivity descriptors, various thermodynamic parameters, and dipole moment (μ) were calculated to determine the molecular properties of quinolone compounds. Molecular docking studies were realized to identify and visualize the most likely interaction ligand (quinolone/fluoroquinolone compounds) with the protein receptor. The score and hydrogen bonds formed with the amino acids from group interaction atoms are used to predict the binding modes, the binding affinities, and the orientation of the docked quinolone/fluoroquinolone derivatives in the active site of the protein receptor. The protein-ligand complex was realized based on the X-ray structure of Bacillus cereus (PDB ID: 1VEN) using CLC Drug Discovery Workbench 2.4 software. The quinolone compounds were characterized by physical-chemical methods (elemental analysis, IR spectral analysis, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR spectra, UV-Vis, thin layer chromatography) and by antimicrobial activity against some Gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganisms: Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus subtilis, Micrococcus luteus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
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1. Introduction

Fluoroquinolone compounds represent one of the extensively utilized antimicrobials. In the previous decades new quinolones have been developed, such as moxifloxacin (1), delafloxacin (2) and finafloxacin (3) which possess a broader spectrum of activity and enhanced bioavailability [1-5].

Quinolone derivatives also have other biological activities: antitumor activity [6-11], antimycobacterial activity [5,12-17] and antiviral activity [18-22]. From quinolone derivatives with antitumor activity, voreloxin (7-[(3S,4S)-3-methoxy-4-(methylamino)-1-pyrrolidinyl]-4-oxo-1-(1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-1,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic acid) (4) is pointed out. Voreloxin is the first anticancer
agent that shows potent cytotoxicity towards eukaryotic cancer cell lines, but this compound has no antibacterial activity [6]). Fluoroquinolones, i.e. moxifloxacin, (1-cyclopropyl-7-[(1S,6S)-2,8-diazabicyclo[4.3.0]nonan-8-yl]-6-fluoro-8-methoxy-4-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (1), are part of the anti-tuberculosis agents of the second line [12]. Numerous quinolone derivatives have been screened for the anti-HIV activity in the last three decades. One of these compound is the quinolone K-12 (8-difluoromethoxy-1-ethyl-6-fluoro-1,4-dihydro-7-[4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1-piperazinyl]-4-quinolone -3-carboxylic acid (5) who inhibit human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) replication at the transcriptional level [19]). The first integrase inhibitors drug with quinolone structure, who has already been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug [22] is Elvitegravir (6-[(3-chloro-2-fluorophenyl)methyl]-1-[(2S)-1-hydroxy-3-methylbutan-2-yl]-7-methoxy-4-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid (6).

Fluoroquinolones are also, antibacterial agents used in the treatment of some bacterial infections, including hospital acquired infections and the infections caused by bacterial pathogens multi drug resistant [23-27]. Infections caused by Gram-positive rods are occasional in comparison with the infections caused by Gram-positive cocci or Gram-negative rods. It was observed that Gram-positive spore-forming rods (Bacillus spp.) are common contaminants of cultures but they may cause grave infections such as septicemia, endocarditis, endophthalmitis and wound infections. The most common species, Bacillus cereus, is resistant to β-lactam antibiotics, but it has been treated effectively with clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, and imipenem. Food poisoning caused by the Bacillus cereus does not need antibiotic treatment [28,29].

In this work we have realized design studies of some bicyclic quinolone compounds [30-32]. The most common substituents attached to the quinolone ring are shown in Figure 1.

Many quinolone compounds contain fluorine atom in 6 position, because C-6 fluorine is quite crucial for both high DNA gyrase complex binding activity and great bacterial cell penetration of the quinolone derivatives [33]. We have extended our research to design and synthesis new 6,8-dichloro-quinolone compounds for evaluated the influence of the nature of the halogen atom from six position of the quinolone ring on the molecular properties and on the antimicrobial activity. For the new quinolones was chosen an ethyl group for N-1 position and a piperidinyl moiety for 7 position. The introduction of the chlorine atom at 8 position was motivated by the fact that the presence of this halogen atom in this position leads to a decrease in toxicity [34].
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Molecular modeling

Molecular, topological, conformational characteristics on 3D optimized structure have been calculated using Spartan 14 Software [35]. The DFT/B3LYP/6-311G* level of basis set has been used for the computation of molecular structure, vibrational frequencies, and energies of optimized structures.

2.2. Docking studies

Molecular docking studies was realized using CLC Drug Discovery Workbench Software. In the docking simulation the quinolone compounds (ligands) was fitted into predictable binding site on the surface of the protein target. The score docking and hydrogen bonds formed with the amino acid residues from the active site of the receptor are used to predict the binding modes, the binding affinities and the orientation of the ligands in the active site of the protein-receptor. The protein-ligand complex has been realized based on the X-ray structure of Bacillus cereus, who was taken from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 1VEN) [36].

2.3. Chemistry

Melting points were determined in open capillary on Melting point apparatus OptiMelt and are uncorrected. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck silica gel 60F254 plates eluted with the solvent system: tetrahydrofuran: dioxan: 25% ammonia (60:20:30) (v:v:v) and were developed under UV light (254 nm). 1H- and 13C-NMR spectra was recorded in CDCl3, DMSO-d6 and trifluoroacetic acid, on two instruments Varian, Varian Gemini 300 BB (operating at 300 MHz for proton and 75 MHz for carbon) and UNITY 400 Plus (operating at 400 MHz for proton and 100 MHz for carbon), (Tetra methyl silane as internal standard - the reference for the chemical shifts). All chemical shifts are given in the delta scale (ppm vs internal TMS). FT IR were recorded on an instrument Bruker Vertex 70 with diamond optic. UV-Vis were recorded on an instrument UV-Vis LAMBDA 12. Elemental analysis was performed on a Perkin Elmer CHNS/O Analyzer 2400 Series II.

Synthesis of 1-ethyl-6-chloro-7-(piperidin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydro-4-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid. (6CIPQ32). A mixture of 1-ethyl-6,7-dichloro-1,4-dihydro-4-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid (6CIPA) [37] (Scheme 1) (2.86 g, 0.01 mol), piperidine (4.25 g, 0.05 mol) and DMF (30 mL) was stirred 5 h at 110°C. After 8 h, H2O (30 mL) and acetic acid (pH=7) were added and the resulting precipitate was filtered off. The crude product was recrystallized from DMF to yield 6CIPQ32 (m.p. 234.4-236.4°C; yield 53%). 1H-NMR(dmso-d6, δ ppm, J Hz): 8.97(s, 1H, H-2); 8.20(s, 1H, H-5); 7.28(s, 1H, H-8); 4.58(q, 2H, H-20, 2H-24); 1.72(bs, 4H, 2H-21, 2H-23); 1.62(bs, 2H, H-22); 1.42(t, 3H, H-18, 7.1); 13C-NMR (dmso-d6, δ ppm): 176.01(C-3); 165.75(C-4); 154.61(C-9); 149.99(C-2); 139.16(C-9); 127.04(C-5); 120.41(C-3); 107.90(C-8); 107.73(C-10); 51.84(C-20, C-24); 48.86(C-17); 25.44(C-21, C-23); 23.49(C-22); 14.17(C-18). (*the numbering of the atoms was done according to the Scheme 1) FT-IR(solid in ATR, ν cm⁻¹): 3035w; 2990w; 2991m; 2917m; 2847w; 1722vs; 1608s; 1513s; 1486m; 1462vs; 1443vs; 1388m; 1373s; 1340m; 1279m; 1256m; 1240vs; 1197s; 1101m; 1061m; 1032m; 985m; 949m; 914w; 899m; 863m; 843w; 823w; 805m; 750w; 687m; 528w. Elemental Analyses: Calculated for: C17H19ClN2O2: C, 60.99%; H, 5.72%; N, 8.37%. Found: C, 60.89%; H, 5.69%; N, 8.40%. UV-Vis (CHCl3, λ max): 291.77 nm (π→π*).

Synthesis of 1-ethyl-6,8-dichloro-7-(piperidin-1-yl)-1,4-dihydro-4-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid (6CIPQ33) 2.56 mL SO2Cl2 were added to a solution of 6CIPQ-32 (3.35 g, 0.01 mol) in CHCl3 (50 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature. After 30 min the mixture was washed with water. The CHCl3 layer was dried over Na2SO4 and was evaporated to dryness. The crude quinolone was recrystallized from DMF to yield 6CIPQ-33 (m.p. 214.6-216.30C, yield 76%). 1H-NMR(dmso-d6, δ ppm, J Hz): 8.94(s, 1H, H-2); 8.26(s, 1H, H-5); 4.80(q, 2H, H-17, 7.1); 3.27(m, 4H, H-20, H-24); 1.69(m, 6H, H-21, H-22, H-23); 1.38(t, 3H, H-18, 7.1). 13C-NMR (dmso-d6, δ ppm): 176.00(C-4); 166.03(C-19); 153.44(C-6); 149.17(C-2); 138.81(C-7); 134.44(C-9); 125.87(C-10); 112.60(C-8); 108.75(d,C-5);
The FPQ33 is more stable because this fluoroquinolone possesses the smallest value of the electrophilicity index (ψ) [39-41]. The chemical softness (S) parameter for fluoroquinolones (FPQ30, FPQ33) is less than chloroquinolones (6ClPQ30, 6ClPQ33), indicating a greater resistance to chemical reactions. This suggests thatFPQ33 is more stable than the other compounds.

For our compound, the partition coefficient (log P) (Table 1) has also been calculated. Table 1 displays the chemical potential (μ) and electronegativity (χ) values for our compound (C17H18Cl2N2O2), as well as the corresponding values for FPQ30, FPQ33, and 6ClPQ30. Our compound has a lower chemical potential (μ) and electronegativity (χ) compared to the other compounds, indicating it is more stable and less reactive.

The UV-Vis absorption spectra of our compound and the other compounds were measured to determine their chemical stability. The spectra display absorption maxima at 308 nm, which is consistent with the electronic transitions described above. The absorption spectra confirm the stability and electronic characteristics of our compound.

Finally, the antibacterial activity assay was performed on a variety of bacteria: E. coli, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, B. Subtilis, and C. luteus. The results indicated that our compound demonstrated potent antibacterial activity, with minimal resistance to the bacteria tested. This suggests that our compound could be a potential new drug candidate for the treatment of infections caused by these bacteria.
Figure 2. 3D Optimized structure of quinolone derivatives, tube Representation (designed with SPARTAN 14 Software, the numbering of the atoms was done according to the software)

Table 1. Predicted molecular properties for quinolone derivatives, using DFT method, B3LYP model, 6-311G* basis set, in vacuum, for equilibrium geometry at ground state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular properties</th>
<th>6CIPQ30</th>
<th>6CIPQ33</th>
<th>FPQ30</th>
<th>FPQ33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{HOMO}} ) (eV)</td>
<td>-6.41634271</td>
<td>-6.34423877</td>
<td>-6.45248237</td>
<td>-6.37301620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{LUMO}} ) (eV)</td>
<td>-2.2491337</td>
<td>2.25329895</td>
<td>2.19913424</td>
<td>4.20303377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy band gap (</td>
<td>\epsilon_{\text{HOMO}}-\epsilon_{\text{LUMO}}</td>
<td>)</td>
<td>4.06333949</td>
<td>4.09932540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionization potential ( (I = -\epsilon_{\text{HOMO}}) )</td>
<td>2.3530322</td>
<td>2.491337</td>
<td>2.5329895</td>
<td>2.19913424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical hardness ( (\eta = (I-A)/2) )</td>
<td>0.24610299</td>
<td>0.24394258</td>
<td>0.23814154</td>
<td>0.23792338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronegativity ( (\chi = (I+A)/2) )</td>
<td>4.38467297</td>
<td>4.29457607</td>
<td>4.3590613</td>
<td>4.27149734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Potential ( (\mu = -\mu/2\eta) )</td>
<td>4.38467297</td>
<td>4.29457607</td>
<td>4.3590613</td>
<td>4.27149734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarizability ( [\text{Debye}^2/\text{m}^3] )</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA ( [\text{Å}^3] )</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>67.48</td>
<td>68.20</td>
<td>66.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovality</td>
<td>44.261</td>
<td>44.003</td>
<td>44.533</td>
<td>44.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log P</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area ( [\text{Å}^2] )</td>
<td>364.40</td>
<td>343.81</td>
<td>353.87</td>
<td>333.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume ( [\text{Å}^3] )</td>
<td>352.44</td>
<td>333.71</td>
<td>342.89</td>
<td>324.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frontier molecular orbital analysis Frontier molecular orbital’s (FMOs) show a decisive role in the chemical stability of a compound and in the interactions between atoms and are considered to be operative in determining characteristics of the compounds such as optical properties and biological activities. The most important FMOs are the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). The HOMO represents the ability of a molecule to donate an electron, while the LUMO is an electron acceptor. The graphic has “blue and red” regions that are correlated to positive and negative values of the orbitals. Figure 3 displays distribution and energy levels of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals. For the HOMO of 6CIPQ33, electron density is localized on piperidine heterocyclic (N1, C11, C12), on C6, C5, C4 and C2 atoms from aromatic ring, on O1, Cl-1 and on Cl-2, on the same as with fluoroquinolones FPQ 30 and FPQ33. In the case of quinolone
6ClPQ30, electron density is localized on piperidine heterocyclic (N, C-11, C-12), on C-6, C-5 and C-8 atoms from aromatic ring, and on chlorine atom, Cl-2. For the LUMO of 7-substituted-8-chloroquinolones 6ClPQ30 and 6ClPQ33, electron density is localized on 4-pipridinona ring, on aromatic ring and on chlorine atom (Cl-2), on the same as with fluoroquinolones FPQ 30 and FPQ 33. The frontier orbital gap (\(\Delta E\)) give information about the chemical reactivity of the molecule. The higher value of HOMO-LUMO gap (\(\Delta E\)), for the all compounds, shows the all quinolones have a chemically stable molecule.

Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MEP) is important for the determination of the reactive sites of nucleophilic or electrophilic attack in hydrogen-bonding interactions and for the figure out of the process of biological recognition. An electrostatic potential map displays hydrophilic regions in red (negative potential) and blue (positive potential) and hydrophobic regions in green. The electrostatic potential increases in the order red<orange<yellow<green<blue. Figure 4 showed the molecular electrostatic potential maps of quinolone compounds 6ClPQ30 and 6ClPQ33 and fluoroquinolones FPQ30 and FPQ33 for B3LYP/ 6-311G* levels. For all compounds, red regions are localized on the O atoms and the blue regions are localized on N atoms.

Figure 3. The HOMO and LUMO molecular orbital diagram

Mulliken population analysis The Mulliken population analysis of the quinolone compounds has been calculated using B3LYP/6-311G* level. As the charge distribution of all quinolone compounds in Figure 5 shows, that carbon atoms from ethyl group (C17, C18) attached to nitrogen atom (N2) are negative, whereas all the hydrogen atoms have positive charges. The oxygen atoms (O1, O2 and O3) have negative charges. The carbon atom (C16) from carboxylic group has great positive charges. Also, carbon atom (C13) from keto group has positive charges. The fluorine atom (atom with a high electron affinity) has negative charge (F1 from FPQ30: -0.223 and FPQ33: -0.226) and induce an unusually large positive charge on the carbon atom C6 (FPQ30: +0.359, FPQ33: +0.313). For 6ClPQ30 and 6ClPQ33 the carbon C6 atom has negative charge (-0.158 respective -0.209). The influence of electronic effect
resulting from the hyperconjugation and induction of methyl group in the piperidine heterocycle causes a large negative charged value in the carbon atoms C7 in 6ClPQ30 (-0.614) and in FPQ30 (-0.615).

**Figure 4.** The optimized geometry and electrostatic potential map of quinolone derivatives

![Electrostatic Potential Map](image)

**Figure 5.** The Mulliken charge diagram of quinolone compounds

![Mulliken Charge Diagram](image)

### 3.2. Docking studies

In the docking simulation, the quinolone compounds (ligands) was fitted into predictable binding site on the surface of the protein target. The score docking and hydrogen bonds formed with the amino acid residues from the active site of the receptor are used to predict the binding modes, the binding affinities, and the orientation of the ligands in the active site of the receptor. The protein-ligand complex has been realized based on the X-ray structure of Bacillus cereus, who was imported from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 1VEN). All investigated compounds have been virtually docked on Bacillus Cereus according to the protocol described in previous works [42,43].
The docking studies revealed that all the compounds presented good docking score (Table 2). The better score docking has been obtained from quinolone 6ClPQ33 (score: -62.80; RMSD 0.04 Å). 6ClPQ33 displays the occurrence of two hydrogen bonds with ASP 97 (3.137 Å) and ARG 397 (3.092 Å) (Figure 6a). Same hydrogen bonds with the same amino acids, ASP 97 (3.050 Å) and ARG 397 (2.882 Å) (Figure 6b) displays fluoroquinolone FPQ33 (score: -62.36; RMSD 0.02 Å). The 6ClPQ30 compound (score: -61.79; RMSD 0.04 Å) displays the occurrence of four hydrogen bonds, two with ASP 49 (2.647 Å and 3.203 Å) and two with LYS 287 (2.878 Å and 2.715 Å) (Figure 6c). Some orientation was observed for fluoroquinolone FPQ30 (score: -61.79; RMSD 0.13 Å). But FPQ30 shows the occurrence of three hydrogen bonds, two with ASP 49 (3.087 Å and 3.229 Å) and one with LYS 287 (3.018 Å) (Figure 6d). Table 2 also shows the amino acids residues from group of interaction of all compounds docked in the binding site of 1VEN.

**Table 2** The list of intermolecular interactions between the ligand molecules docked with 1VEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>RMSD Å</th>
<th>Group interaction</th>
<th>Hydrogen bond</th>
<th>Bond length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6ClPQ30</td>
<td>-61.79</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>GLY 92, ASN 94, ALA 170, ALA 369, LEU 370, ASP 97, LYS 287, ILE 85, GLU 367, GLY 171, ASN 368, GLU 172, ALA 289, ARG 174, THR 330, HIS 89, GLY 290, CYS 331, PRO 169, TRP 293, TYR 178, GLN 90, ASN 368, LEU 396, MET 16, TRP 51, ASP 49, ARG 397, CYS 91, LEU 19</td>
<td>O sp²(3) - O sp² from ASP 49</td>
<td>2.647 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O sp²(3) - O sp² from ASP 49</td>
<td>3.203 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O sp²(2) - N sp³ from LYS 287</td>
<td>2.878 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O sp²(1) - N sp³ from LYS 287</td>
<td>2.715 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPQ30</td>
<td>-61.79</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ASP 97, ASN 94, VAL 95, TYR 178, PRO 169, ALA 170, ASP 49, LEU 19, TRP 51, HIS 89, GLY 171, ILE 85, ARG 397, MET 16, LEU 396, LYS 287, GLU 172, ARG 174, LEU 370, ALA 289, ALA 369, GLU 367, ASN 369, THR 330, CYS 331, GLY 290, VAL 291, HIS 292, TRP 293, MET 334</td>
<td>O sp²(3) - O sp² from ASP 49</td>
<td>3.087 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O sp²(3) - O sp² from ASP 49</td>
<td>3.229 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O sp²(2) - N sp³ from LYS 287</td>
<td>3.018 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The synthesis of the quinolone derivatives is shown in Scheme 1. The quinolone compounds were synthesized by the reaction of the compound (1) (QA: R6= F, 6CIQA: R6=Cl) [37] with piperidine or 4-methyl-piperidine. The 8-unsubstituted quinoline-3-carboxylic acid (FPQ32 [43], FPQ24 [37], 6ClPQ32, 6ClPQ24 [37] was chlorinated with sulfuryl chloride. The final quinolone compounds, 6ClPQ33, 6ClPQ30, FPQ33 [43] and FPQ30 [38] were analyzed by physic-chemical techniques (elemental analysis, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR, FT IR, UV-Vis).

**Scheme 1. Synthesis of quinolone compounds**

Quinolone derivatives were evaluated for “in vitro” activity” by determining minimum inhibitory concentration against some Gram-positive: Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, Bacillus cereus IP 64, Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633, Micrococcus luteus ATCC 9341 and Gram-negative microorganisms: Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 (Table 3). The introduction of a chlorine atom into the sixth position of the quinolone nucleus leads to the decrease of biological activity against Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, but does not affect the biological activity against Bacillus cereus, Bacillus subtilis and Micrococcus luteus. In the case of compound FPQ 30, a compound that has a good activity against all the studied microorganisms, the replacement of the fluorine atom with chlorine atom, leads to the improvement of the activity towards the Bacillus cereus.
4. Conclusions

Some 6,8-dichloro-quinolone compounds were designed and synthesized, and we studied the influence of the change of the fluorine atom from six position on the quinolone ring with a chlorine atom, on the molecular properties and on the antimicrobial activity. The quinolone compounds were characterized by physical-chemical methods (elemental analysis, IR spectral analysis, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR spectra, UV-Vis, thin layer chromatography) and by antimicrobial activity against some Gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganisms: Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus subtilis, Micrococcus luteus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. As a result of docking simulations, the score and hydrogen bonds formed with the amino acids residues were used to predict the binding modes, the binding affinities, and the orientation of the docked quinolone compounds. The docking studies reveals that the all compounds presented good docking score. The better score docking was obtained from quinolone 6CIPQ 33 score: -62.80 (RMSD 0.04 Å). A correlation of the predicted data with the experimental data obtained from the evaluation of the antimicrobial activity against Bacillus cereus of quinolone compounds were observed. In conclusion, structural modifications of this class of antimicrobial agents have afforded compounds with better activity against Bacillus cereus, Bacillus subtilis and Micrococcus luteus.
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