Study Concerning PbO Solubility in NaOH Solution for the Treatment of Sulfate-oxide Pastes Obtained from Dismantling used Lead-acid Batteries
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Growing of waste lead-acid battery quantity, collected from processors, means the growing demand for secondary lead as raw material for car batteries, both needed for increased cars production and for replacing of waste batteries for increased number of automotive in service. During operation of accumulator batteries due to the chemical reaction that occurs during their recharging and unloading, lead sulfate is forming, therefore, if in the initial paste the major component is PbO, then in accumulator paste the main component is PbSO₄. Finally, sulfuric acid concentration decreases at 10 %. Leaching method with sodium hydroxide solutions is one of the most recent initiatives for lead obtaining, attempting a double win: the environment pollution control and processing of secondary resources such as volatile dust resulted from lead extractive metallurgy of primary resources. This method consists in leaching with sodium hydroxide solutions followed by electrolytic extraction of lead and regeneration of sodium hydroxide solution.

Keywords: waste lead-acid battery; lead sulfate; sodium hydroxide; leaching; electrolytic extraction

The data presented in the literature [1- 7] showed that hydrometallurgical methods processing for oxide-sulfate paste obtained from disassembling of spent battery accumulators are in the laboratory research stage, pilot and functional way without being promoted on an industrial scale.

Generally, these methods are different by the way systems and techniques are used for performing of lead leaching process from oxide-paste.

Depending on the solvent used are known the following methods for lead leaching, namely:
- in chlorides solutions (NaCl, CaCl₂);
- in acidic solution;
- in alkaline solution (NaOH);
- in amines.

From all these lead leaching methods we considered that a real chance to promote at industrial scale has:
- Leaching method of lead in acidic solutions [8, 12];
- Leaching method in sodium hydroxide solutions [9].

Lead leaching, especially lead sulfate, in sodium chloride solutions and calcium chloride occurs almost selectively dissolving in addition to lead solution, only silver and copper.

Leaching of lead sulfate in sodium chloride solutions occurs according to reaction:

\[ \text{PbSO}_4 + 2\text{NaCl} = \text{PbCl}_2 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \]  

The best results for leaching of these compounds are given by EDA and DETA. These compounds at room temperature are totally soluble in water in any proportion. Leaching of lead compounds occurs according the following reactions:

\[ \text{PbSO}_4 + 2\text{EDA} \rightarrow [\text{Pb}(\text{EDA})_2]\text{SO}_4 \]  

\[ \text{PbO} + \text{EDA} + \text{EDA} \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow [\text{Pb}(\text{EDA})_2]\text{SO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

Lead extraction from lead complex is performed by treatment with carbon dioxide, resulting, lead carbonate, ethylenediamine sulfate and ethylenediamine carbonate according to chemical reaction.

\[ 2[\text{Pb}(\text{EDA})_2]\text{SO}_4 + 3\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{PbCO}_3\text{Pb(OH)}_2 + 2\text{EDA} \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 + 2\text{EDA} \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \]  

Solvent regeneration from mother solution is performed by treatment with chalk which decomposed the sulfuric acid complex.

\[ 2\text{EDA} \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{EDA} \]  

Fluosilicate solutions is a chosen alternative in most of lead refining installations, but also, are used electrolyte solutions by fluoborat and sulphamate type. From all lead complexes present in oxide-sulfate paste only PbO is soluble in hexafluosilicic acid. For that, in first stage is necessary to reduce PbSO₄ to PbCO₃ or PbO.

General reactions that are involved in leaching with hexafluosilicic acid are:

\[ \text{PbO} + \text{H}_2\text{SiF}_6 = \text{PbSiF}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

\[ \text{PbCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{SiF}_6 = \text{PbSiF}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \text{gas} \]  

Lead extraction from hexafluosilicic acid solutions is performed by electrolysis.

The method with sodium hydroxide solutions is a part of the most new initiatives for lead obtaining, attempting a double win: the environment pollution control and processing of secondary resources such as volatile dust resulted from lead extractive metallurgy of primary resources.

These consist in leaching with sodium hydroxide solutions, flowed by electrolytic extraction of lead and regeneration of sodium hydroxide solution. Principal chemical reactions involved are:

\[ \text{PbSO}_4 + 4\text{NaOH} = \text{Na}_2\text{PbO}_2 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

\[ \text{PbO} + \text{NaOH} = \text{Na}_2\text{PbO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  
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Pb + 2NaOH = Na₂PbO₂ + H₂  \hspace{1cm} (10)

All three reactions generate sodium plumbite. Lead extraction from solutions is carried out by electrolysis with stainless steel OL anodes with obtaining of a cathode deposit in sponge form, which can be easily removed and must be melted for metallic lead obtaining. For establishing work conditions, there have been made tries to solubilize lead oxide with sodium hydroxide solutions, at different concentrations and L:S ratios, depending on temperature.

**Experimental part**

**Experimental study on leaching of lead complexes in NaOH solutions**

Literature data offer less information about PbO-NaOH-H₂O system. Generally are presented dates about the leaching of PbO in solutions until 100g/L NaOH. For this reason, to understand better the chemical process of lead leaching in alkaline media, were used the presented data from theoretical diagram performed with the help of HSC Chemistry Program (fig.1-6) at different NaOH concentration and ratio L:S.
As we can see a passing of the lead into solution is possible through solubilisation of the lead oxide also at low solution concentrations and low temperatures, the diagrams being built at room temperature (298K).

Below are presented experimental data regarding the lead oxide leaching in sodium hydroxide solutions at different concentration by using pure substances.

**Equipment and operating mode**

Faze analysis [10] through X-ray diffraction of the pastes was done using the Bragg-Brentano diffraction method, on plane powdery samples.

Data acquisition was realized on the BRUKER D8 ADVANCE diffract meter with the help of the DIFFRACplus XRD Commander (Bruker AXS) software, using the Bragg-Brentano diffraction method, \( \Theta-\Theta \) in a vertical configuration.

Solution analysis: atomic absorption spectrometer with a GBC 932 AB plus type flame.

After analysis the following results have been obtained, concerning the faze composition of the oxide sulphate pastes (table 1 and fig. 7).

**Results and discussions**

In the following, leaching experiments for the lead oxide, one of the main components of the oxide sulphate paste, have been made. The results regarding the lead sulphate and the pastes will be presented in another paper.

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O₂</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>13.190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbO₂</td>
<td>18.350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSO₄</td>
<td>38.690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb met.</td>
<td>2.820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂(SO₄)₃</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂SO₄</td>
<td>0.016 0.032</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn(SO₄)₂</td>
<td>0.010 0.020</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.110 0.126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.009 0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuSO₄</td>
<td>0.032 0.032</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As₂O₃</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₃</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.880 0.880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>0.290 0.290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2.671 2.671</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>73.050 0.08% 0.410 0.110 0.022 0.025 0.032 6.100 16.279 0.019 0.023 0.880 0.290 2.671</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The experiments were performed by using litharge and NaOH of analytical purity. Leaching was carried out in thermostatic flask, phase being separated by ceramic vacuum filter. Reaction time was about 3600 s; reaction is reversible, relatively fast and is not necessary more than 300 s.

It is known[11] that medium rate of PbO leaching in NaOH solutions are:

- 1.44 g Pb/l. sec in interval 0 – 300 s;
- 0.01 g Pb/l. sec in interval 300 – 1800 s;
- 0.008 g Pb/l. sec in interval 1800 – 3000 s.

Results are showed in table 2 and figure 8-10.

The experimental data presented in table 2 were mathematically and statistically processed with the help of Jandel Scientific program, and the results are presented in figure 8 through figure 10.

**L:S=1:10 Ratio**

\[ z = a + \text{LOGNORMX}(b, c, d) + \text{LOGNORMY}(e, f, g) + \text{LOGNORMX}(h, c, d) \cdot \text{LOGNORMY}(1, f, g) \]  

\[ r^2 \quad \text{Coef Det} \quad \text{DF Adj} \quad r^2 \quad \text{Fit Std Err} \quad \text{F-value} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Conc. NaOH, M</th>
<th>Temp., °C</th>
<th>L:S Ratio</th>
<th>Pb in solution, g/l</th>
<th>Extraction Yield, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>26.45</td>
<td>28.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>40.08</td>
<td>43.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>40.87</td>
<td>46.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>30.06</td>
<td>30.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>33.09</td>
<td>24.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>42.42</td>
<td>36.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>43.87</td>
<td>39.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>33.41</td>
<td>33.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>39.82</td>
<td>31.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>48.02</td>
<td>36.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>54.85</td>
<td>40.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>51.79</td>
<td>41.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>74.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>32.87</td>
<td>97.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>33.88</td>
<td>97.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>33.03</td>
<td>95.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>29.19</td>
<td>81.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>30.08</td>
<td>83.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>29.97</td>
<td>97.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>33.89</td>
<td>95.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>34.16</td>
<td>93.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>35.09</td>
<td>93.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>36.02</td>
<td>97.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>34.01</td>
<td>95.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>19.87</td>
<td>93.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>97.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>97.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>94.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>20.08</td>
<td>99.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>99.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>99.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td>97.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>96.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>21.71</td>
<td>96.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>95.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>20.09</td>
<td>96.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

**EXPERIMENTAL DATA REGARDING THE SOLUBILISATION OF PbO IN NaOH SOLUTIONS**

---

The experimentally obtained data presented in table 2 were mathematically and statistically processed with the help of Jandel Scientific program, and the results are presented in figure 8 through figure 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Param</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Std Error</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Trust</th>
<th>Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>34.31534424</td>
<td>1.333366e+08</td>
<td>2.5736e-07</td>
<td>-3.7065e+08</td>
<td>3.70653e+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>15.63254269</td>
<td>1.644669e+08</td>
<td>9.50487e-08</td>
<td>-4.572e+08</td>
<td>4.57197e+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>5.13235429</td>
<td>0.232898013</td>
<td>22.03679381</td>
<td>4.48490555</td>
<td>5.779745431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0.27730852</td>
<td>0.0796392</td>
<td>3.48206606</td>
<td>0.05592404</td>
<td>0.498693001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>8.56972503</td>
<td>1.28096e+08</td>
<td>6.69009e-08</td>
<td>-3.5609e+08</td>
<td>3.5608e+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>79.2747608</td>
<td>1.10752e+10</td>
<td>7.15789e-09</td>
<td>-3.0787e+10</td>
<td>3.07872e+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>0.20767245</td>
<td>1.10289e+08</td>
<td>1.88299e-09</td>
<td>-3.0658e+08</td>
<td>3.06585e+08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>10.57068446</td>
<td>1.58005e+08</td>
<td>6.69009e-08</td>
<td>-4.3923e+08</td>
<td>4.39229e+08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the data presented above we can see the value of \( r \) (the multiple correlation coefficient) is very close to 1, this meaning that between the objective function and the considered parameters there is a strong connection. The determination coefficient \( r^2 \) shows that 90% of the extraction yield for the lead in the solution depending on the temperature and the NaOH concentrated solution is explained by equation 11. Because the value of \( F \) (Fisher’s constant) is 4.93813, and the significance threshold \( P \) is 0.01096 (value which always has to be smaller than 0.05), the proposed regression model for the case variation studied is valid and can be used for the dependency analysis between variables.

**Fig.8. Extraction yield variation for the lead depending on temperature and NaOH concentration temperature at a L:S=1:10 ratio**

**L:S=1:30 Ratio**

From the data presented above we can see the value of \( r \) (the multiple correlation coefficient) is very close to 1, this meaning that between the objective function and the considered parameters there is a strong connection. The determination coefficient \( r^2 \) shows that 90% of the extraction yield for the lead in the solution depending on the temperature and the NaOH concentrated solution is explained by equation 12. Because the value of \( F \) (Fisher’s constant) is 24.3716, and the significance threshold \( P \) is 2.11345.10^{-2} (value which always has to be smaller than 0.05), the proposed regression model for the case variation studied is valid and can be used for the dependency analysis between variables.

**L:S=1:30 Ratio**

\[
z = a + \text{LOGNORMX}(b,c,d) + \text{LOGNORMY}(e,f,g) + \text{LOGNORMX}(h,c,d) \times \text{LOGNORMY}(1,f,g)
\]

(13)
From the data presented above we can see the value of \( r \) (the multiple correlation coefficient) is very close to 1, this meaning that between the objective function and the considered parameters there is a strong connection. The determination coefficient (\( r^2 \)) shows that 93% of the extraction yield for the lead in the solution depending on the temperature and the NaOH concentrated solution is explained by equation 13. Because the value of F (Fisher’s constant) is 2.21269, and the signification threshold \( P \) is \( 1.2254 \times 10^{-3} \) (value which always has to be smaller than 0.05), the proposed regression model for the case variation studied is valid and can be used for the dependency analysis between variables.

Conclusions

The leaching with sodium hydroxide solutions method is one of the most recent initiatives for obtaining lead, attempting a double win: the environment pollution control and processing of secondary resources such as volatile dust resulted from lead extractive metallurgy of primary resources.

For a better understanding of chemical process for lead leaching in alkaline media, we used data presented in theoretical diagram performed with help of HSC Chemistry Program at different NaOH concentration and ratio L:S.

At PbO leaching to a ratio S:L=1:10, best results are obtained at a temperature higher than 60°C. At S:L=1:30 and S:L=1:50 influence of temperature is lower. Also, at S:L=1:10 (PbO excess) was observed that at a 8M NaOH concentration, Pb leaching efficiency is less than at 6M NaOH. We could not clarify this issue scientifically. We consider that 8M NaOH solutions have a strong oxidant character and transform a part of PbO in PbO\(_2\) which have a little solubility in NaOH solutions.

From experiments can be observed at lower concentration of NaOH than 2M NaOH hydrolysis phenomenon is produced for PbO with formation of 3PbO\(_2\).H\(_2\)O. Solution is mat and very hard to filter.
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